

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,711	10/06/2000	Dimitri Kanevsky	YOR9-2000-0241-US1	2109	
7590 03/16/2004			EXAMINER		
Paul D Greeley Esq			LE, DA	LE, DANH C	
Ohlandt Greeley Ruggiero & Perle LLP One Landmark Square 10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			2683		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/680,711	KANEVSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANH C LE	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined think the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day downleaply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	December 2003.					
	is action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	<u>, </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and. 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the second se	ccepted or b) objected to by the force of the control of the control of the drawing of the drawi	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Application or its documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Page 1 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title; if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wohl (US 5,247,700) in view of Kennedy (US 6,018,657).

As to claim 1, Wohl teaches a method of providing a data message in a communication system (figure 1 and col.6, lines 26-41) comprising:

- (a) identifying a location of a passive device (pager) by finding location that has control over communications of an active device (cellular) that is associated with said passive device (pager), wherein said passive device is capable of receiving messages transmitted by the system and incapable of transmitting messages to system; and
- (b) transmitting said data message to said system for transmission to said passive device.

Wohl fails to teach the system including a plurality of local service providers.

Kennedy teaches the system including a plurality of local service providers (col.3, lines 43-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Kennedy into the system of Wohl in order to enhance system performance of the cellular telephone with pager which forwards different type of messages to either cellular phone or pager.

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 2, Wohl teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising (c) identifying said active device (cellular) with which said passive device (pager) is associated, and wherein said identity of said active device is used by step (a).

As to claim 4, Wohl teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising (d) identifying said passive device (pager) that is to receive said data message, and wherein said identity of said passive device is used by step (c).

As to claim 5, Wohl teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said passive device is selected from the group consisting of. a watch, a pen, a telephone, a frame, a wallet, and a beeper (pager).

As to claim 6, Wohl teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said active device is a cellular telephone (cellular), and wherein said local service provider is a local cellular service provider in a cellular communication system.

As to claim 7, the claim is a system claim of the claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

As to claim 9, the claim is a system claim of the claim 3; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 3.

As to claim 10, the claim is a system claim of the claim 4; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 4.

As to claim 11, the claim is a system claim of the claim 5; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 5.

As to claim 12, the claim is a system claim of the claim 6; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 6.

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 16, the claim is a program claim of the claim 1; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 1.

As to claim 17, the claim is a system claim of the claim 2; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 2.

As to claim 18, the claim is a system claim of the claim 3; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 3.

As to claim 19, the claim is a system claim of the claim 4; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 4.

As to claim 20, the claim is a system claim of the claim 4; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 4.

2. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mardirossian (US 5,796,338) in view of Kennedy (US 6,018,657).

As to claim 13, Mardirossian teaches passive device (figure 2, pager) comprising a personal article that has a display (35), a receiver (37) capable of receiving data via a wireless transmission from communication system, a transmitter (43) that has a transmission range that includes a nearby active device (cell phone) but not a said communication system and that is capable of transmitting an identity message to said active device, and a controller (41) for processing said data for display on said display (35) and said transmission of said identity message by said transmitter.

Mardirossian fails to teach a passive device receiving data via wireless transmission from a local service provider of a communication system. Kennedy teaches a pager device receiving data via wireless transmission from a local service

Art Unit: 2683

provider of a communication system (col.3, lines 43-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Mardirossian into the system of Wohl in order to enhance system performance of the cellular telephone with pager which forwards different type of messages to either cellular phone or pager.

As to claim 14, Wohl teaches the passive device of claim 13, wherein said transmission range is about zero foot to about 100 feet (col.5, lines 29-42).

As to claim 15, Wohl teaches the passive device of claim 13, wherein said personal article is selected from the group consisting of: a watch, a pen, a telephone, a frame, a wallet, and a beeper.

3. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mardirossian (US 5,796,338) and Kennedy (US 6,018,657) in view of Kita (US 6,263,218).

As to claim 3, Wohl teaches the method of claim 2, Wohl fails to teach step accesses a database that contains said identity of said active device and a list of passive devices of said subscriber that are associated with said active device. Zz teaches step accesses a database that contains said identity of said active device and a list of passive devices of said subscriber that are associated with said active device (col.23, lines 1-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of zz-into the system of Wohl in order to enhance system performance of the cellular telephone with pager that alter the page with different device such as pen, watch, wallet.

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 8, the claim is a system claim of the claim 2; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 21-28 are allowed.

As claims 21, 26, the teaching of above prior arts either alone or in combine fails to teach a low power transmitter that has a transmission range, which includes a nearby active device, but not said local service provider, and that transmits to said active device at least one signal that identifies said passive device and its location of close proximity to said active device for relay to a global registry.

Dependent claims 22-25, 27, 28 are allowable for the same reason.

Claims 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 29, the teaching of above prior arts either alone or in combine fails to teach an additional data message, wherein the, local service provider identified by the repeated step (a) is a local service provider that currently has control over the communications of said active device, whereby the passive device is movable from said location to a new location and still able to receive said additional data message.

Art Unit: 2683

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Danh C.Le

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600